

REMARKS

Very thanks for Examination's suggestion and thanks for finding some citations about the present invention, thereby, the applicant may know more information about the invention. This case has been carefully reviewed and analyzed in view of the office action.

Responsive to the objections and rejections made of the Examiner in office action. We have amended the specification and claims. All the errors disclosed in that office action has been corrected according to the Examiner's indications disclosed in the official action.

The drawings are remained no changed, since we have amended the related descriptions in the claim and specification to match the contents of the drawings. Thus, no amendment is performed to the drawings.

In the present invention, the description of "the inner wall of the through hole having a plurality of clamp seats 3" recited in claims 2 and 3 and in the page 4 of the specification are changed as "the inner wall of the frame body 1 containing a plurality of claim seats 3", and the amendment has been shown in Fig. 2. Thus no drawing is amended.

Moreover the description of the section about "mask is opaque" (in page 2, line 24) is deleted, referred to amendment of specification in the following, since this is just an example of the invention without not supported in the original drawings and this is not claimed in the present invention. Thus, applicant decides to cancel this section. However cancellation of this does not effect the present invention. Thereby, no drawing is necessary to be amended.

Thus, It is now believed that the subject Patent Application has been placed in condition for allowance, and such action is respectively

requested.

Respectfully submitted,
zhao-Cheng Chen

Dated: 03 / 25 /2003

235 Chung - Ho Box 8-24

Taipei Taiwan R. O. C.